Bidder Name: Jalue Options



2009 Iowa Plan RFP Bid Evaluation Scoring Tool

TECHNICAL COMPONENT

7A.2 Programmatic Overview ---- 60%

This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 150 pages.

Does it exceed? Y/N?

states
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
History depression-pres abuse- ed for physical & psychiatric strengt o will work with caregivers thuse care managers for coord
sere affected to the former ble

Strength Odeal with both psychiatric issues & physical health issues (2) Datreach to netwick supports & Univ. of Iwa 3. good identification of challenges

weakness et teast 2 of challenges had solutions of working with primary care pysicians no mention of how they would go op pop's fortake post. Not sure they realized this was grew provident Bidder Name: Jalue Jotions

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.3.a) Coordination and Integration of Services (Sections 4.1, 4A, 4B, and 5A of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Did the bidder describe the strategies it would take to coordinate and integrate service delivery for each of the five types of Eligible Persons and Enrollees? Eligible Persons with: (1) concurrent mental health and substance abuse conditions (2) concurrent mental health and/or substance abuse conditions plus concurrent medical conditions (3) concurrent mental health and/or substance abuse conditions and involved with the adult correctional system	Resident Clinical teams—with Resident Clinical teams—with Resident Clinical teams—with Resident Construct the eligible Reliable for high need will Reliable for high need word Connections System identify high Reliable for Remover of Opproach Reliable for Remover of Opproach Reliable for Remover of Opproach Reliable for the eligible Reliable for the eligible Resident of
2. Are the strategies appropriate and are they likely to be effective?	11 mistic aborder 2010 -
3. Do they effectively embody the philosophy and program goals in that they, among other things:	who will for toxas &
 emphasize honoring Eligible Persons' choice of service provider, promote the philosophy that Eligible Persons should be able to remain in their homes and communities, and demonstrate that the bidder is committed to working with all providers serving the enrollees to ensure blended and coordinated service delivery? 	Jane Examples of Mind did for Seach type in soveral State
4. Did the bidder provide examples of its experience in other states with respect to coordination and integration of services and how it will be applied in Iowa? Is the experience relevant and likely to be beneficial to Iowa?	

Strength 1. Referral Protocol

3. Regional G.M & Regional date

3. Regional G.M & Regional date

4. Warn Line

5. Indu & System care coord

6. Educate & Recwery approach Potstes

7. Relapse J. Many different of scensment on training

8. Examples J. Many Meeds of ssessment on training

Weakness & Denite of Provider Timited.

2

Bidder Name: <u>Jalue</u> Options

Sub-Section Score (circle one): V7A.2.4 Rehabilitation, Recovery, and Strength-Based Approach to Services Fails to Meet (Partially Meets) Meets With Distinction (Sections 4.A.2 and 4.B.2 of the RFP) data for example-cut cost by 40% colorado appar only min-conn 1. Does the bidder's proposal include a detailed explanation of its experience providing behavioral health services through a recovery-oriented approach? Court peer sparin emergency Roum pas Does the bidder's proposal describe in detail the model it proposes to implement? Does the bidder's proposal recognize the priority for effecting change during the contract period? Does the response provide details for realistic actions that the bidder intends to take during the contract period to affect change? 4. Does the response specifically identify the bidder's approach with respect to: Contractor interactions with Eligible Persons? service system planning and design? provider adoption of a rehabilitation, recovery and strength-based approach to services? 5. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?

Strongth Dlead by example & push system to improve p. 29

3 they will employ consumers

3 Conducted Focus groups-eligibles & Families designed

4. Will uses take university for survey satisfection p. 26

5. Learning 1965 p. 27

Weakness P. 24 Statewide member + Family Support duesn's

Goddress Spar does talk dual

Goddress Spar doesn's address State

By Voice P. 20 - again duesn's address State

John of good ideas - left out Sa examples

John of good ideas - left out Sa examples

Bidder Name: <u>Jake Lotinos</u>

really between

olddel Ivalic.		
	Sub-Section Score (circle one):	
7A.2.5 Person-Centered Care (Section 7A.2.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet	
7A.2.5.a)	1 données	
Persons in the planning of their care? 2. Does the description include:	passa centered planning limited to Develop plans, limited to Develop plans,	
 how the bidder intends to assure that the Eligible Person and, as appropriate, family members, participate in treatment planning? descriptions of instances in which the bidder has successfully employed such strategies under other contracts? 	& Oudits	
 3. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective? 4. Do the cited examples of experience demonstrate working knowledge that will benefit Iowa? 	- Pretty limited	
7A.2.5.b) 1. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's		
past performance with respect to the implementation of strategies to involve Eligible Persons in the planning of their care?		
600000000000000000000000000000000000000	PSE O NOSSOR	

Strength DSelf Directed Care Approach p. 201

Strength DSelf Directed Care Approach p. 201

Directed Care Approach p. 201

Children & Family 8.29

Children & Family 8.29

Soft approach for Willintery & involuntary

weak- mention a research Dased eval tool but no name No mention of where employed Stratesier No mention to measure success (over 3. limited approach no training or

Bidder Name: Sub-Section Score (circle one) 7A.2.6 Covered Services, Required Services, Optional Services (Meets) Partially Meets Eails to Meet Meets With Distinction (Sections 4A.3, 4A.4 and 4B.3 of the RFP) Stability of current $\sqrt{7}$ A.2.6.a) network initial goal 1. Is the bidder's proposed strategy to ensure statewide capacity sufficiently detailed to will help to do uniform reimbursemend understand what it intends to do? paper safely Nets Is the bidder's proposed strategy appropriate and likely to be effective? Fraising & drientation $\sqrt{7}$ A.2.6.b) Does the analysis include an identification of service gaps and the basis on which the bidder has made its determination? Took First at critical gaps 2. Was the bidder's methodology to identify service gaps comprehensive, rigorous, and will look at incentives. 3. Were any major gaps of which the evaluator is aware missed? - did a profile Single case agreement p. 33 Ceruit, to better serve rund in a sa or co-occur saps 4. Does the bidder's proposal for how the gaps would be addressed seem appropriate? Did the bidder provide a plan for addressing the gaps, with an implementation appear (use incentives) Emot retorne services transportation timeline? 6. Did the bidder address the following areas in its plan in a comprehensive and informed fashion: Level I Sub-acute Facility services delivery? work to shift philosophy 24 hour mental health stabilization services? Phase 2 P.34-recruit private pradimers Substance abuse peer support/recovery coaching? Are the plan and timeline for addressing the service gaps appropriate and likely to be effective to enable the bidder to make all required mental health services available p.35-19ter years local graps develop oun to the majority of Iowa Plan enrollees by the end of the second contract year? not sure they understand that OPH is wot an open Panal

Panal

Panal

Paperred that gap analysis reviewed only fix myl

Pagenthese crisis team include addiction spec.

Panal Hospital diversion programs - talk about sa.

like the 8chool based Service but can't tell
if No will Fund it or short?
So peer Jupport Plants - did use obto - will
concerned on use of connections p. 43 - JOPHconcerned on use of this
countries will this

P. 44 + timeline - what is EATS/CDAT-CO
potentially timeline to telepsychiatry

A CARLON CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CO	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.6 Covered Services, Required Services, Optional Services (Sections 4A.3, 4A.4 and 4B.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction (Meets) Partially Meets Fails to Meet
√7A.2.6.c)	Flex funds
 Did the bidder describe the process by which integrated mental health services and supports will be authorized? If so, does the process appear to be appropriate and utilizing appropriately skilled staff? Did the bidder provide any parameters that would be implemented to guide the authorization of integrated services and supports? If so, do the parameters appear to 	Standard Furnat providers on Standard Outpt Services professor
be appropriate? Whined them - on	
5. Did the bidder provide examples of comparable past experience providing integrated mental health services and supports? If so, do the cited examples demonstrate working knowledge that will benefit lowa?	Commission to contenencial - Jare Jetate
7A.2.6.d) 1. Did the bidder describe how it will incorporate evidence-based practice into its management and how it will impact the services offered through the Iowa Plan?	training of munitur outcomes collaboral example of ACI (Meet)
2. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	stations with dama projects
7A.2.8.e) 1. Does the bidder identify any services for which it will not reimburse due to moral or religious grounds? • If yes, is there a complete explanation of these services?	(This response should not be scored. The question is for informational purposes only)

 number of staff? credentials and expertise? the rationale for the mix of expertise? roles of different types of staff? methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of 	by reducing
7A.2.7.a) 1. Did the bidder describe its organization of the Utilization Management Staff, including: • number of staff? • credentials and expertise? • the rationale for the mix of expertise? • roles of different types of staff? • methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? • methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of	by reducing har p. 5)
including: • number of staff? • credentials and expertise? • the rationale for the mix of expertise? • roles of different types of staff? • methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? • methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of	contes
including: • number of staff? • credentials and expertise? • the rationale for the mix of expertise? • roles of different types of staff? • methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? • methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of	contes
2. Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate?	ezy nurt.
3. Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? 4. Are the roles proposed by the hidder for each of the different types of Utilization	Eth. Gleve
4. Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate?	
5. Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not?	
6. Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery systems appropriate and likely to be effective?	
7. Is the proposed approach to ensure continuity for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective?	
7A.2.7.b)	
Did the bidder's other clients for which it has organized UM staff to maximize coordination with local service systems confirm the effectiveness of the bidder's performance?	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Mosts With Distinction (Meets) Partially Meets Fails to Meet
	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.8.a)	Lices mass has sucial nec
Do the UM Guidelines the bidder would use in authorizing mental health services appear to be appropriate?	Using Mass has sucial nec One to copyright didn't example ASAM Out gave an example
2. If the bidder attached guidelines for the application of ASAM criteria, do the guidelines the bidder would use for the authorization or retrospective monitoring of substance abuse services appear to be appropriate?	Have some a mersion (MEN)
7A.2.8.b)	wo preanatol but attetisible
Did the bidder describe how UM Guidelines would generally be applied to authorize or retrospectively review services?	(I DRHTOU) have to register
	Started 24 Mrs day prop author
2. Did the bidder address how it would both manage the appropriateness of treatment duration and also manage potentially high volumes of service requests?	Digh volume Staffed certs, de
3. Does the approach to outpatient service authorization address management of appropriateness review in a manner likely to be efficient and effective?	
7A.2.8.c)	CUMPECTIONS Frack Women
Did the bidder discuss special issues in applying the guidelines for at least some of the following services and populations:	and list of requirement
 i. substance abuse services for pregnant and parenting women? ii. substance abuse services provided to Enrollees in PMICs? iii. mental health inpatient services provided to Enrollee children in state mental health institutes? 	Herritat Court Principals of cons
iv. Eligible Persons with concurrent need for both mental health and substance abuse treatment? v. Assertive Community Treatment (ACT)?	recuery mentioned in ACT-
 If so, does the bidder appear to have a thorough understanding of what special issues might arise and of how to address them? Were there any issues the evaluator felt should be addressed that were omitted? 	alsu unta Actifus Co-occur

76.28- CRITERIC FOR detat Tretades therepares Order star peside John Fre Sabuse Ditton Pattarment)

.

.

.

-

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
	Meets With Distinction (Meets) Partially Meets Fails to Meet
	1 Dy halon
7A.2.8.d)	11/1/ Ledrice Low or 1 grant 1800
 Did the bidder list any services or levels of care for which prior authorization would not be required? 	Meets With Distinction Meets Tallary Meets T
2. Do the levels of care for which the bidder has indicated it won't require prior authorization appear to be appropriate, given both access to care and cost management objectives?	Otet has might have to have
3. Did the bidder describe a QI-related circumstance that would lead the bidder to request state approval for prior authorization?	1 dertilization frends Migh regulit
4. Does the prior authorization circumstance demonstrate experience and knowledge? Does the quality improvement circumstance example align with care and cost management objectives?	didn't see they would off Fur use outlier management program
7A.2.8.e)	
P.33	Provi
1. Did the bidder describe how it would self-evaluate the clinical effectiveness and	
Frends, protiles, outliers - etc.	
2. Does the bidder's proposal to self-evaluate the clinical effectiveness and administrative efficiency of the authorization processes rely upon robust and meaningful measurement of performance? Supervisory and the self-evaluate the clinical effectiveness and administrative efficiency of the authorization processes rely upon robust and meaningful measurement of performance?	
3. Did the bidder describe circumstances under which it might waive prospective review requirements for certain providers?	CHATT THE STATE OF
4. Does the bidder's description of circumstances under which prospective utilization review might be waived for certain providers demonstrate a well-reasoned approach to balancing appropriate utilization management with limiting administrative requirements of providers?	

		Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2	2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2	2.8.f)	uses psycho nec. Clements in ell
1.	Did the bidder describe how it would operationalize the state's concepts of "psychosocial necessity" and "service need"?	Uses payono noc. Elements in all Proscore - NO sorvice Need in Proscore - NO sorvice
2.	Did the description contrast the proposed approach with that used for "medical necessity' under other contracts, or if not applicable, explain how the concepts differ?	used tecovery approach
3.	Does the bidder's approach for operationalizing the state's concept of "psychosocial necessity" in the authorization process for mental health services align with the state's objectives, as put forth in Section 5A.3.1 of the RFP?	
2.	Did the bidder's distinction between "medical necessity" and the concepts of "psychosocial necessity" and "service need convey a good understanding of how the approaches differ?	Service need.
	2.8.g)	author code say contract requirement
1.	Did the bidder describe the process the bidder would implement for the administrative authorization of services (when contractual requirements mandate the	a di satro raviews
2.	authorization and reimbursement for services that do not fall within the contractor's UM guidelines)? Does the process the bidder proposes for implementing the administrative	Section on retro levient unich doesn't seem to address this section
٠	authorization of services appear to be appropriate?	
3.	Did the bidder include in its description the way in which the bidder would allow for authorization for services provided during all the months of enrollment even if Medicaid eligibility is determined after the initiation of services?	use of connections thurd claims for 60 days imperati
4.	Does it appear that this process treats providers fairly and will be effective?	no reed to resubmit

- A	O LA LA DER	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
/A.	2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction (Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.:	2.8.h)	Camplex needs
1.	Did the bidder describe how it would provide Intensive Clinical Management to certain Iowa Plan Enrollees, and the relationship of those activities to Targeted Case Management?	I I TEM TOM NEED TOM &
2.	Does the bidder's process for providing Intensive Clinical Management appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	med viceverse 175ted admission orders
3.	Is the bidder's proposed relationship of Intensive Clinical Management and Targeted Case Management appropriate and likely to be effective?	ms kise
7A.	2.8.i) 062 Meet 9	assigned to geographic terms
1.	Did the bidder describe how it would provide 24 hour crisis management?	expertise in deried backgrounds
	Is the bidder's proposed approach to provision of 24-hour erisis management reflective of the current state of that service in Iowa, appropriate, and likely to be effective?	Call never put or hold in emergency
3.	Did the bidder provide examples of how that service has been provided in other states?	
4.	Do the bidder's examples demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.9 Required Elements of Individual Service Coordination & Treatment Planning	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
(Sections 1.9, 4B.2.2 and 5A.5 of the RFP)	
7A.2.9.a)	listed goal For Crisis response
1. Did the bidder describe the 24-hour crisis and referral service that the Bidder would make available to Eligible Persons, including:	
how the Bidder would ensure the availability of clinicians with expertise in	Community based mobile
providing mental health and substance abuse services to children? how the 24-hour crisis and referral service would interface with the emergency	Crisis
crisis service system?	11313
2. Does it appear that the bidder's 24-hour crisis and referral service utilizes	(nume based school based)
appropriately trained staff?	Services
sufficient access to clinicians with child mental health and substance abuse expertise	s system who addressed
2. Does the bidder's response depict a process that would ensure that the 24-hour crisi	s squiem Not addressed
and referral corrige appropriately and effectively interfaces with the emergency cris	
3 Way CONF ability	
V7A.2.9.b)	Uses connection system to identify with need or field - flagged for start of the st
1. Did the bidder describe a process for identifying those Eligible Persons who have	Wish weed of I last I logged to
demonstrated the need for a high level of services or who are at risk of high	Stati
utilization of services?	addressed how they could work
2. Does the bidder's process for identifying those Eligible Persons appear to capture a	
of those in need of individual service coordination and treatment planning in a timely and efficient manner?	the Lebotz messement team
2 District 1911 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Given to care mains
3. Did the bidder describe how it would initiate ongoing treatment planning and coordination with the Iowa Plan Eligible Persons and all others appropriate for	Frack service utilization For depts
planning the Eligible Person's treatment?	terms teaching
4. Does the bidder's process for initiating ongoing treatment planning and coordination	on design outcome tracking
appear to be appropriate and likely to be effective?	dues individual duesn't bolk at
	entire groups diagnosis.

Bidder Name: \(\sum \) \(\alpha \) \(\lambda \)

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
A.2.9 Required Elements of Individual Service Coordination & Treatment Planning (Sections 1.9, 4B2.2 and 5A.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
.2.9.c) Q. 65	Fraining on ASAM TESTIMANTE
Did the bidder describe the program the bidder would implement in conjunction with officers of the courts to assure that court-ordered treatment complies with substance abuse criteria and therefore is reimbursable through the Iowa Plan? Officers Does the bidder's proposed program appear appropriate and likely to succeed?	Halkey about was 4 many adop Manufactures. Marketines. Marketines.
7A.2.9.d) (Mell)	- 11 - 11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
Did the bidder describe a process for actively promoting and ensuring coordination by Iowa Plan network providers with Enrollees' primary care physicians?	Not addressed only with
Is the proposed process for promoting and ensuring coordination appropriate and likely to be effective?	What Nec. 4 What Format What Nec. 4 What Format
Did the bidder describe how it would assess network provider compliance with the care coordination requirements? CONTRACTED PROMIDERS	Pracma connect - Used Format Pracma connect - Used For Pracma connect - Used For
Is the proposed process for ensuring compliance, inclusive of any measurement and reporting activities, appropriate and likely to be effective?	barne connect wegicating
Did the bidder provide results of monitoring efforts conducted for other clients to verify that coordination had been occurring effectively?	described but the # contemes
. Do the bidder's examples of monitoring efforts document an effective process?	
Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to promoting and ensuring coordination by network providers and primary care physicians?	
	Did the bidder describe the program the bidder would implement in conjunction with officers of the courts to assure that court-ordered treatment complies with substance abuse criteria and therefore is reimbursable through the Iowa Plan? Does the bidder's proposed program appear appropriate and likely to succeed? 7A.2.9.d) Did the bidder describe a process for actively promoting and ensuring coordination by Iowa Plan network providers with Enrollees' primary care physicians? Is the proposed process for promoting and ensuring coordination appropriate and likely to be effective? Did the bidder describe how it would assess network provider compliance with the care coordination requirements? Is the proposed process for ensuring compliance, inclusive of any measurement and reporting activities, appropriate and likely to be effective? Did the bidder provide results of monitoring efforts conducted for other clients to verify that coordination had been occurring effectively? Do the bidder's examples of monitoring efforts document an effective process? Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to promoting and ensuring coordination by network

Bidder Name: Sub-Section Score (circle one V7A.2.10 Children in Transition (Section 5A.6.1 of the RFP) Fails to Meet Meets With Distinction 7A.2.10.a) Wat amess 1. Did the bidder provide comprehensive and detailed descriptions of experience transitioning children from inpatient settings, including specific examples of hospital Use emergency mental Health crisis System to provide in home crisis and PMIC-like entities? 2. Did the bidder provide successful strategies for putting in place effective discharge Stabilization 4 schowe bracement ory placement from such settings? 15322 Stopped 1122V 3. Does the bidder's described experience demonstrate experience and knowledge that Family Peer Support Services would be of benefit to Iowa?

Brut, Resurce tarmly con youth services liasing Industrie Approachs

7A.2.11 Appeal Process (Section 5B.2 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction <u>Meets</u> Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.11.a)	with invite but provides 4 ensolves
Did the bidder describe a process and provide an accompanying flowchart for the review of Enrollee appeals?	letter acknimilege I mark day
2. Does the flowchart provide timeframes from receipt of the request, and through each review phase, up to notification?	cumulisted 3 days
3. Is the described process consistent with the requirements contained in Section 5B.2 of the RFP, including the following and other requirements:	transfamily boutures of recovery
 provision of written notice acknowledging the receipt of a request for review and reasonable assistance with filing appeals, if requested? 	,
• 100% of all expedited appeals will be resolved within 3 working days of receipt of an appeal. All non-expedited appeals shall be resolved within 14 days of the receipt of the appeal and 100% shall be resolved within 45 days of the receipt of the appeal?	out stated direct but say will comply with everything setand.
provision of a written notice of disposition that includes the requirements	
outlined in 5B.2.11 of the RFP?	

 Did the bidder describe the processes it would put in place for the review of Enrollees grievances and Eligible Persons complaints? Is the described process consistent with the requirements contained in Section 5B.3 of the RFP, including the following and other requirements: Enrollees or their designees may initiate a grievance either orally, to be followed up in writing, or just in writing; complaints from DPH-eligible participants 	Partially Meets Fails to Meet
• 95% of all complaints and grievances shall be resolved within 14 days of receipt of all required documentation and 100% shall be resolved within 90 days of the receipt of all required documentation?	initiated method method initiated initi

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.13.a)	De sonitate de la constantina del constantina de la constantina de la constantina de la constantina del constantina de la constantina de l
 Did the bidder describe how it would ensure that the provider network is adequate and that access is maintained or increased to meet the needs of Iowa Plan Eligible Persons? 	identified 54b populations red access P.76 populations red
Does the proposed approach to ensuring an adequate provider network and access appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	Mot accept Wegicaig wempers LEGALTS MIL CIZO MAT ELWIGERZ ACCESS A. P
3. Did the bidder identify where there are potential issues of lack of capacity within the Bidder's network, and steps it would take to increase capacity?	how do they already have privates under contract p. > 2 dialit sive
4. Are the identified potential issues reflective of the current Iowa service system?	address molersoned & Ent
5. Are the proposed steps to increase capacity appropriate and likely to be effective?	inder Eunteact P. Jamphasis address undersoned & emphasis on special New Dillim-up status mail applications of Fillim-up status
6. Did the bidder provide examples from current contracts of how it has ensured network adequacy in states with a shortage of psychiatrists or other specific behavioral health professionals? USC TOO MEDICAL AUSC NATIONAL AUSC	prine intract blans to redentice
7. Do the bidder's examples from other states demonstrate experience and knowledge.	1 3 0 6 WW.
7A.2.13.b) 1. Did the bidder describe proposed strategies to bring services to underserved communities, including, but not limited to, for:	telepsychiatry statewide by develop work with Mssucration & UI to develop
 the use of telehealth and distance treatment options? provision of child psychiatric consultation services to primary care clinicians? 	HOLLING SERVICES SHOW BUSE Clinics as
2. Do the bidder's proposed strategies to bring services to underserved communities appear likely to result in improved access?	provide psychiatric consult in 31
Sutcomes For PA-P. As	tance

17

The second of th	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
	Oxample.
7A.2.13.c)	Example addressed culture Indian Hort
1. Did the bidder describe its experience under other contracts to ensure delivery of services to underserved communities when provider network capacity was initially	Services did nute example for Recovery
found to be inadequate? The Hank	gig unto examble the mecons
2. Did the bidder's description of experience addressing initial network inadequacy for underserved communities in states where there was a shortage of psychiatrists demonstrate effectiveness?	
3. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to addressing initial network inadequacy for underserved communities?	
V7A.2.13.d) Q. 8 (mcet9)	must all examples centered
Did the bidder describe its experience implementing Medicaid managed behavioral health programs in which it successfully promoted the development of:	of rechery emprace propers
psychiatric-rehabilitation-services?	
 mental health self-help and peer support groups? peer education services? 	Pilot peer Support by telehealth Pilot peer Support by telehealth
peer education services.	101101 BEEL ZAPPA
2. Does the bidder's description document its experience and success promoting the development of these three services and making them available to enrollees?	(b 8d - Dogatom - d
3. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to promoting the development of and implementing psychiatric rehabilitation services, mental health self-help and peer support groups, and peer education services?	

7A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Did the bidder describe its experience with contracts that include SAPT Block Grant funding? 3 states and states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? The states are superience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa? 	Konsas - MM - Tekes endence Disch proctice previder excellence program I mited into on MM 4 texas mot sure wheat expressive is
 Did the bidder describe its experience contracting with networks of comparable or greater size than those of the Iowa Plan within the timeframe afforded by this procurement?	Letas exberg. Letas exberg. My exemble or co-occur. goog.
3. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to timely network contracting?	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
요하는 사람들은 사람들이 있는 사람이 있다고 하는데 있는데 이번에 가장을 가장했다. 이번에 발표했다. 	Migets With Distinction
7A.2.14.a)	Cetro reviews andit monitors
1. Did the bidder describe how it would actively manage quality of care provided by	Seems to be
network providers of all covered service, including the Bidder's proposed methodology for conducting provider profiling and utilizing the profiles to generate	Sabyse profiling seems to be
quality improvement?	Jaly enfortees p. 89
` ' '	
2. Does the content of provider profile reports for providers of child inpatient mental	Real time reporting capability previders can be
health services, providers of adult outpatient mental health services, and providers of Level II substance abuse services, appear to adequately capture the critical	Real time reporting
elements of the performance of each of those providers?	vongels can mi
3. Do the reports contain indicators for performance which address clinical quality,	Leming La agostin of EBB & important
access, utilization management, linkage with primary care physicians, and enrollee satisfaction, at a minimum?	Kerky for adopting on the time
satisfaction, at a minimum?	
4. Are the sample report content descriptions missing any major areas of provider	Value select member Soncero get
performance one would expect to see in the report?	Calloc on the Jan charge when
5. Is the timing of report distribution proposed by the bidder frequent enough to ensure	Callor on the 15th concern get
that all provider and service types will be profiled and will receive reports at least	ODIN GIVERS
quarterly?	Face to Face train & web-inars
and the state of t	face to tace
6. Did the bidder describe explicitly how the bidder would interact with each provider following the distribution of each profile report?	consumer input et
from - face to face it bost	1 - 10116 11
7 Does the bidder's proposed approach for generating and facilitating improvement in	Store CONCERA
the performance of each profiled provider seem like it will be effective?	1 / Ny GW NG: 2222
8. Does the bidder's proposed approach include interactive communication between	
bidder staff and providers in which feedback is shared?) Company of the second of the
Charles 10005 DG + awce	Egne abbut cost
9. Did the bidder indicate how it would periodically assess provider progress on its	Egnc. Obba
implementation of strategies to attain improvement goals? - Corative	
10. Did the bidder adequately describe its process for identifying areas of improvement	
with providers and setting improvement goals for priority areas in which provider	
performance falls below acceptable or benchmark levels?	
\T	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.14.a) (continued)	
11. Did the bidder describe a process of frequent reassessment of provider performance on improvement goals, including face-to-face meetings with appropriately qualified bidder staff? Does it appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	
12. Did the bidder provide examples for how provider profiling has been utilized to improve service delivery? Does the approach appear to have resulted in measurable quality improvement?	MA-PA
13. Did the bidder describe how it intended to reward providers that demonstrate continued excellence or dramatic improvement in performance over time and how the bidder would share "best practice" methods or programs with providers of similar programs in its network?	MIH-14
14. Did the bidder describe how it intended to penalize providers that demonstrate continued unacceptable performance or performance that does not improve over time?	
15. Does the proposed use of rewards and penalties appear appropriate and meaningful	
for network providers?	
16. Are the proposed methods for sharing best practices likely to support replication by other network providers?	
other network providers? - alects & training	

The control of the co		Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)		Meets With Distinction (Meets) Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.14.b)		Named who - DIA
1. Did the bidder provide a description of how network manageme performed for other state clients that are comparable to those des 5C.5?	nt activities cribed in Section	example for protobe in a 14
Did the description convincingly convey that the bidder has effect comparable network management activities for state clients?	divery operated	m H example 40 out conse
7A.2.14.c)		Attachment 202 where is best practice
1. Did the bidder provide copies of provider profiles employed for	two clients?	Attachment 2p.2 where is best practice
2. Do the profiles demonstrate the bidder's experience and capacity of provider profiles required by this RFP?	/ 1	yes - 8.8 entennes lister
3. Did the bidder describe measurable performance improvement t the provider profiles?	hat resulted from	
4. Is the bidder's demonstration of improvement resulting from the	use of provider	
profiles credible and significant?	meets	
7A.2.14.d)		letio lenien myt tollow-nt
The bidder describe how it would assure the accuracy of ISMAR the providers of substance abuse services comprehensive?	T data submitted by	so contract requirement
2. Is the proposed plan appropriate and likely to be effective?	1111	

Bidder Name:	\sim	alue	
Didder i taller			

Sub-Section Score (circle one): √7A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet Meets With Distinction (Section 5D RFP) family members on 7A.2.15.a) the transumer council, youth 1. Did the bidder describe experience in using data-driven evaluation of organizationwide initiatives to improve the health status of covered populations? M1-ansas Does the bidder possess meaningful, successful experience in using data-driven Eligible 4 family reimbured travel evaluation of organization-wide initiatives to improve the health status of populations? 3. Did the bidder provide quantified, statistically significant evidence of improved: mental health quality - process measures - LOS - PSYhthropic - no specific automes - steady imprope substance abuse quality - process measures - access mental health quality - functional or clinical outcome measures - PSyChik Sune autcomes = 500 substance abuse quality - functional or clinical outcome measures mental health quality - consumer-reported outcome measures substance abuse quality - consumer-reported outcome measures 4. Did the bidder's references confirm the bidder's effectiveness generating statistically significant improvement in population health status? outcomes 7A.2.15.b) 1. Did the bidder describe its experience implementing instruments in publicly funded managed care programs that assess changes in functional status and/or recovery? Did the bidder's description specify tools, populations, sample sizes, findings, and how the bidder acted upon it findings? -Ontower - Odin Does the bidder's demonstrated experience indicate its capacity to implement such instruments in Iowa, and to make good use of the findings?

\$ 107

MA incentives (Rate incressed)

Au participale

Respose entire MAH

23

Respose entire MAH

23

2.1561 would have tiked to see a example other than access 0.101 2.15 a mh functional suicide risk a ssessment.

Stated demo improvement in crisis prevention and the stillize them to thought illize them to the suicide risk a special properties and the special properties are the special propertie Succession for the succession of the succession My consumer - charse tomaigs recovery System of care PloB-used Rust & RIAS indicators water have liked prestigation did de recc. to imprine quality SA Consiner - Konser plos - used accession analysis words - had pre 4 post.

The second secon	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
(Section 5D RFP)	Weets With Distinction
 Does the bidder describe an array of different methods by which consumers and family members would be proactively engaged by the bidder in the Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement program? Possible techniques that the bidder might have cited include: adding consumers and family members to bidder-sponsored quality improvement teams; using advisory groups or focus groups to advise the identification and design of possible improvement projects, and using surveys to elicit consumer and family members suggestions and/or feedback. 	County depisor comen
2. Does it appear that consumers and family members would have a substantive role bidder in the Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement program based on the bidder's response?	
7A.2.15.d) 1. Did the bidder describe how it would use pharmacy data to improve quality,	use Pharma connect
including to:	1211 Lind 1 1/20 11/21
 identify utilization that deviates from clinical practice guidelines for schizophrenia and major depression, and identify those Enrollees whose utilization of controlled substances warrants intervention either because of multiple prescribers, excessive quantities or prescribing that is inconsistent with the clinical profile of the Enrollee. 	buth high a low use will do all diagnosis categories
Does the bidder's description demonstrate a good understanding of the use of pharmacy data for quality improvement and seem likely to be effective?	Alects to or care gap

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
A.2.15.e) Did the bidder describe its identification of the greatest opportunities for quality improvement in public managed behavioral health programs like the Iowa Plan?	listed 7-good recovery 2 Cultural competencies
. Does the bidder's description of the greatest opportunities for quality improvement indicate a profound understanding of public sector behavioral health programs?	Privites are ready made
Are the opportunities consistent with what the Evaluator might identify as high priority opportunities? CCOCY SCOUCES development of ESQ 10 SA 15 0 M/Sh Device 1. Are the quality improvement approaches described likely to result in improved function and well being for enrollees?	2 détailed were Justice Child welfare Justice
Did the bidder describe approaches to realize two such opportunities in Iowa?	expand access to crisis services - doesn't say how
A.2.15.f) (A.2.15.f)	and the distribution
A.2.15.f) () (Yee	
. Did the bidder describe experience adapting policy or procedures based on input from publicly funded consumers and advocacy groups?	was original or porture
2. Did the bidder convincingly document that these efforts have had a measurable beneficial impact on its members?	did maniture changes of ye letter
3. Do the bidder's references confirm that the bidder has used consumer and advocate input to shape policy and procedure and that this work has had a measurable impact on members?	las in a sure I d'house
5 45 W 2	Just 4 GETCHING ESTEPHENCE ON CONSUME OF

	1.14	
TO 1 1 X T		
Bidder Name:		

Didder Ivalite.	
The second secon	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
√7A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.15.g) (interview chients of EARPR Cos
 Did the bidder describe the process by which the Bidder would conduct retrospective monitoring of all substance abuse service providers in accordance with Section 5.D.1.2? 	Ogniv Stoleow Elgopp Barrel
 Does the description include: The source of the evaluation tool with which the bidder would assess the appropriateness of clinical services delivered? What actions the bidder would propose to take with a provider who it has determined does not deliver services or follow contract guidelines appropriately, both in the event of an initial finding and of a repeated finding? 	3 there salve some comprised to
3. Does the proposed process appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	WH PEROPERT OF COOK
7A.2.15.g)	list kates including bearges consumers
1. Did the bidder provide a copy of a 2008 QA plan that the bidder developed for a publicly funded client?	Atamily M Pinadoll ARGINERY
Does the QA plan depict a comprehensive, well-designed approach to quality assurance and performance improvement?	6.12- Second about a for to se
work with corrective action (+	der gets a written review a quailable) - prive to sanction

7A	.2.16 Prevention and Early Intervention (Section 4A.4.2 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
1.	Did the bidder describe the strategy that it will invoke in order to increase access to and utilization of prevention and early intervention services?	emotional, behavioral 2 800121
2.	Is the strategy appropriate and likely to be effective?	early onet
3.	Did the bidder describe its experience in implementing such strategies under other contracts?	6.119- Iccious desper
4.	If so, do the other programs appear to be well conceived?	MAT MAMBER ON CAN
5.	Was the bidder able to demonstrate that the programs had measurably affected changes improvements in access to and utilization of prevention and early intervention services?	otambre nas Strengthenis
6.	Do the bidder's references confirm that the bidder has successfully implemented strategies to increase access to and utilization of prevention and early intervention services and that this work has had a measurable impact on members?	tamlies, Dif

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.17 Management Information System (Section 6.4 of the RFP)	Mosts With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.17.a)	Connections Intition Contract to
1. Did the bidder describe in detail the management information system the Bidder would implement for the Iowa Plan?	Connections justice contact to Chins & adjudication Raided funding Systemp MS
2. Did the description emphasize the way in which the MIS system would function to gather required data and produce required reports as well as providing detail on hardware capabilities?	Chims & adjudication Braided funding Straten pMF Service & care connect members see premise connect at & Ruming pM presider connect at & Ruming pM ability to transfer Files to prender
3. Does the bidder's response address all of the other requirements of Section 6.4 of the RFP?	VI 60
7A.2.17.b)	Our Strice code 29ch Generally
1. Did the bidder describe adaptations to its MIS which would be made to allow reimbursement for covered, required and optional services provided even if the Enrollee's Medicaid eligibility and Iowa Plan enrollment effective date were determined subsequent to the Eligible Person's month of application?	but contradicts to another place to day
Do the bidder's proposed adaptations to its MIS to allow reimbursement for covered, required and optional services provided to enrollees whose eligibility and Iowa Plan	12 12 33 cont receive respection and 1
enrollment effective dates were determined subsequent to their month of application appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	P134 MOO Medicard hove eligibility records
7A.2.17.c)	P.134 C
Did the bidder describe an adequate process to ensure appropriate allocation of reimbursement when:	Clearly inderstood the womend Children issue plass
 i. services are being provided to a person who was a Medicaid enrollee and whose Medicaid eligibility terminated and the person then, during the same treatment episode, became a IDPH participant/ ii. services are being provided to a person who was a IDPH participant receiving services and, during the same treatment episode, became a Medicaid enrollee/ 	duit see addressed
2. Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has been able to provide a management information system that meets the business needs of other publicly funded programs that are comparable to the Iowa Plan?	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.18 Financial Requirements (Section 6.6 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.18.a)	
1. Did the bidder disclose the financial instruments the bidder would use to meet the requirements of all funds and accounts required in Section 6.6 of the RFP? The requirements are that the Contractor must establish prior to the payment of the first capitation payment and maintain at all times, three accounts or funds as follows:	Ted OHS can draw care it soman
 an Insolvency Protection Account, that must contain at all times, an amount equal to two (2) months of the anticipated annual Medicaid capitation amount; a Surplus Fund, in an amount equal to one and a half times the Contractor's average monthly Medicaid capitation payment; and 	Stated DHS can draw case in 3chary escrew acct combination of OP money market etc.
 Working Capital in the form of cash or equivalent liquid assets equal to at least three months' operating expenses. 	
2. Did the bidder disclose the source of the capital required? — Value bilder of the source of the capital required? — Value bilder's proposed instruments meet the requirements of Section 6.6 of the RFP and	
3. Do the bidder's proposed instruments meet the requirements of Section 6.6 of the RFP and appear to be appropriate and adequate instruments?	
4. Does the bidder's source of capital appear to be sufficient and stable?	
4. Does the pinder a source of cubinitary bear to account to the property of the pinder as source of cubinitary bear to account to the pinder as a count to the pinder as a count to account to the pinder as a count to the pinder as a count to account to the pinder as a count to the pinder	

talked about how they seperate interest earned accounts to approp dept as interest remitted to approp dept as required by RFP

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.18 Financial Requirements (Section 6.6 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.18.b)	,
Dis the bidder demonstrate that its organization is financially sound?	Audits Attch 4
2. Do the bidder's financial statements and those of any corporate parent support its claims?	3009 7 3002 - 08903
3. If the bidder is not financially sound, has it taken corrective measures to address and resolve any identified financial problems? Are these measures likely to be successful?	The Contract
4. Does the bidder attach the most recent two years of independently certified audited financial statements of the bidder's organization as well as the most recent two years of financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable?	Mar (1590) R 9 N mer (1590) R 9
5. Did the bidder provide its most recent three (3) years of independently certified audited financial statements of its organization as well as the most recent two years of financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable?	MA OK those dropped between
6. Do the audited statements reveal any financial problems, legal liabilities, or relevant corporate relationships that the bidder has not mentioned or that raise concern regarding financial stability, legal liability or corporate interests?	Vet income bise B3
 7A.2.18.c) Did the bidder discuss what impact the recent declines in the stock market have had on the Bidder's financial stability, how the Bidder has responded, and any implications for the Bidder's ability to meet the requirements of this RFP? 	don't trade on stock market - private held co.
2. Did the bidder demonstrate that recent stock market declines have not put in jeopardy the bidder's ability to meet the requirements of the RFP, including the maintenance of necessary liquidity?	MACO STATE CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE ST

The state of the s	Sub-Section Score (circle one):	
7A.2.19 Claims Payment by the Contractor (Section 6.7 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet	
7A.2.19.a)	System was with untile find	
1. Did the bidder describe the process it would implement to ensure compliance with the required time frames for claims processing?	Streems by secure electrons	
2. Is the process consistent with the requirements set forth in Section 6.7 of the RFP?	Capable of auto adjudicanto	
3. Does the process the bidder would implement to ensure the bidder's compliance with the required time frames for claims processing appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	Forked Long Mortonarts	
7A.2.19.b)	MA-Penn-TX	
Did the bidder describe its experience implementing contracts in which the claims payment process supported the accurate and timely payment of claims as of the first day of operations?	MA-alway pay within specifications RA-7590 payment of bus days all claims within 2 lays	
Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has been able to successfully implement accurate and timely payment of claims as of the first day of comparable contracts?	all claims, within a longs	
DAMENT DE LA PARTE DE LA PORTE DE LA PARTE DEPARTE DE LA PARTE DE		
Met State Met Met Culture John Met John State John John John John John John John John		

didn't see 13 youths submission line 2761

7A.2.20 Fraud and Abuse (Section 6.8 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.20.a)	Code of conduct require store to
 Did the bidder describe how it will comply with the Departments' Fraud and Abus requirements? 	coge of conquet cedimes excessing
Did the bidder provide examples of how its internal controls successfully work to prevent Fraud and Abuse?	Two Regulieness of sous who
3. Did the description completely address the requirements as defined within Section 6.8?	CIESONSIOIE DU DANS THROTHES OR
4. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	Mach State Land

Bidder Name: _	Value	:	•
			······································

7A.3 Corporate Organization and Experience --- 15% This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 15 pages. Does it exceed? Y/N?

7A.3 Corporate Organization and Experience (Section 6.8 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.3.a)	CA 402009
Did the bidder provide the following information on all current publicly funded managed behavioral health care contracts?	Colo to 2005
 i. contract size: average monthly covered lives and annual revenues; ii. contract start date and duration; iii. general description of covered population and services (e.g., Medicaid AFDC + SSI, state-only population, mental health, substance abuse, state hospital, etc.); iv. the company or agency name and address, and v. a contact person and telephone number? 	Conn to 2009 to aptions to Flordia to 2009 to aptions to This 08 to aptions
Does the information indicate that the bidder has experience with contracts that are comparable in size and scope to the Iowa Plan?	Kanses 19 MB - 09 + 2
3. Did the bidder include letters of support or endorsement from any individual, organization, agency, interest group or other entity despite the prohibition in the RFP from doing so?	P0 71

Pennoat. 14-99-10 optimageors- Mophi

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):		
7A.3.1 Organizational Information	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
7A.3.1.a)			
 Does the bidder provide all of the following (as required by the RFP)? lists and organizational charts showing any and all owners, voting and nonvoting members of the Board of Directors, officers and executive management staff, including CEO, COO, CFO, Medical Director, UM Director, QM Director and MIS Director or equivalent functional personnel? the curriculum vitae for the aforementioned executive management staff? if the bidder is a wholly or partly owned subsidiary or partnership, a description of the legal, financial, organizational and operational arrangements and relationships between the bidder and its parent(s) and any other related organizations? an organizational chart depicting the bidder in relation to the corporations to which it is a subsidiary or partner? if the bidder has subsidiaries, a description of the legal, financial, organizational and operational arrangements and relationships between the bidder and its subsidiaries? an organizational chart depicting any subsidiaries in relation to the bidder? 			
2. Are any key positions vacant?			
3. Do senior officers appear to be appropriately qualified?			
4. Are there any apparent corporate relationships that would introduce a conflict of interest if the bidder were awarded the contract?			
5. If the bidder is a subsidiary or partnership, are the parent corporations or partners engaged in business activities that are complimentary to, and likely to provide long term support to, the bidder?			
6. If the organization is a partnership, is the line of authority clearly delineated?			

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.3.2 Disclosure of Financial or Related Party Interest	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.3.2.a)	comercial claim only
1. Does the bidder disclose any legal, financial, contractual or related party interests which the bidder(s) shares with any provider or group of providers, or provide a statement of no financial or related party interest?	
7A.3.2.b)	
1. Does the bidder (and if the bid involves a partnership or another type of joint venture, any of the bidders) share a financial or related party interest in any provider or group of providers, does the bidder set forth a mechanism by which it proposes to prevent any preferential treatment to those entities with which it shares a financial or related party interest?	Withins
2. If the response to #1, above, is affirmative, does this mechanism effectively prevent preferential treatment to those provider entities in which it shares a financial or related party interest?	
3. Is it likely that the bidder's mechanism will prevent the following situations which might indicate an attempt to ensure financial gain (from RFP Section 5C.3):	
 a change of the distribution of referrals or reimbursement among providers within a level of care? referral by the Contractor to only those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? preferential financial arrangements by the Contractor with those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? different requirements for credentialing, privileging, profiling or other network management strategies for those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? distribution of community reimbursement moneys in a way which gives preference to providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? substantiated complaints by enrollees of limitations on their access to participating providers of their choice within an approved level of care? 	

Va	/u e		
		······································	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.3.3 Disclosure of Legal Actions	
	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
74 33 3	
 As far as the evaluator is aware, did the bidder disclose all relevant information in response to the following RFP questions and requirements or make a statement that there is no applicable information (as required by the RFP)? During the last five years, has the bidder or any subcontractor identified in this proposal had a contract for services terminated for convenience, non-performance, non-allocation of funds, or any other reason for which termination occurred before completion of all obligations under the initial contract provisions? If so, provide full details related to the termination. During the last five years, has the bidder been subject to default or received notice of default or failure to perform on a contract? If so, provide full details related to the default including the other party's name, address, and telephone number. During the last five years, describe any damages, penalties, disincentives assessed or payments withheld, or anything of value traded or given up by the bidder under any of its existing or past contracts as it relates to services performed that are similar to the services contemplated by the RFP and the 	Nothing termoded or default Regallo Regallo Peratty out 08 Septently out 08 Septently out 08 Septently out 08 Septently out 08 Septently out 08 Septently out 08
resulting Contract. Indicate the reason for and the estimated cost of that incident to the bidder. During the last five years, list and summarize pending or threatened litigation, administrative or regulatory proceedings, or similar matters that could affect the ability of the Bidder to perform the services contemplated in	
 this RFP. During the last five years, have any irregularities been discovered in any of the accounts maintained by the Bidder on behalf of others? If so, describe the circumstances of irregularities or variances and disposition of resolving the irregularities or variances. The bidder shall also state whether it or any owners, officers, primary partners, staff providing services or any owners, officers, primary partners, or staff providing services of any subcontractor who may be involved with providing the services contemplated in this RFP, have ever had a founded child or dependent adult abuse report, or been convicted of a felony. 	Period Course Contract Period benefits Dismissed 5

7A.3.3 Disclosure of Legal Actions	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.3.3.a) (continued)	
 If the bidder disclosed that it, or one of its subcontractors, had defaulted on a contract or had a contract terminated for cause, and the project contact person was contacted, what was the explanation given for the problem and does it raise concerns regarding the bidder's qualifications as the State's Contractor? If the bidder disclosed that, during the previous five years, legal action was taken against the bidder or if any legal actions are pending, does the explanation and status update provided by the bidder alleviate any concerns regarding the bidder's qualifications as the State's Contractor? 	Prese
4. If the bidder's current corporate configuration is related to mergers, did the bidder provide the requisite responses to the questions above for all components of the merged entities (as required)?	

Bidder Name:	alue		
-		n.··	

7A.4 Project Organization and Staffing - 15%
This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 10 pages.
Does it exceed? Y/N?

7A.4.1 Organizational Chart	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Did the bidder provide an organizational chart that demonstrates: a) the bidder's corporate structure? b) the reporting relationship which staff assigned to the Iowa Plan would have with other parts of the bidder's corporate structure? 	
2. Does the proposed reporting relationship between staff assigned to the Iowa Plan and other parts of the bidder's corporate structure appear appropriate and likely to be effective? Does it appear that the Iowa Plan-assigned staff will receive sufficient corporate attention and support?	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.4.2 Chart or Other Presentation	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Does the chart or other presentation provided by the bidder clearly show the following? a) every position which would be working on the Iowa Plan? b) the name and qualifications of the proposed Iowa-based individual who would have management responsibility for Iowa Plan operations? c) the reporting relationships between those positions? d) the credentials required of individuals to be hired for each clinical and management position? e) the office locations of each individual? Do the types and numbers of staff to be assigned to the Iowa Plan appear to be sufficient in number and have the appropriate credentials? Are adequate resources dedicated to serving DPH Participants? Is the staffing distributed appropriately given the allowable distribution of administrative costs to each funding stream (i.e., Medicaid 13.5% or less; DPH, 3.5% or less)? 	- Jour Center UP - only BA? Right picture Combined MH an SA Experence where relevant Experence where
5. Are the UM, QA, claims and systems senior management positions appropriately qualified and reporting at an appropriately senior level of the organization?	
Jeppt - all over Del 7 - DSM Network Manager - allower 6 Member 3 DSM Finance 3 DSM Finance 3 DSM Claim & Cystomer 27 Curpus Claim & Cystomer 27 Curpus	Ja 75.32 Ja 78.5 Corporale 3.7.82

7A.4.3 Chart or Other Presentation		Si Meets With Distinction	ub-Section Score (circle one): Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
following? a) the subcontractors (excluding on the Iowa Plan? b) the responsibilities of those c) special skills of those subcontractors.	ontractors? each subcontractor from which they will provide	Marc	
be too large or to potentially hinder program?	ttor, does the number of subcontractors appear to the bidder's successful operation of the		
Did the bidder propose to subcontr integral to successful program open	act any functions that the evaluator believes are ation and should not be subcontracted?		

7A.4.4 Financial Information	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 1. Did the Bidder provide the following information: audited financial statements from independent auditors for the last three years. If the bidders did not have financial statements, did it provide a detailed explanation of why they are not available and provide alternatives that were acceptable to the Departments? a minimum of three written financial references including contract information? 	Represent 5490 SP then represent 5490 SP then
2. Do the financial statements or alternative financial information demonstrate that the bidder has the financial wherewithal to serve as a stable partner to the state?	
3. Do the financial statements or alternative financial information raise any concerns about the bidder's qualifications to serve as the Iowa Plan contractor?	
4. Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has conducted its financial business in an appropriate manner and is qualified, based on its financial practices and financial status alone, to serve as the Iowa Plan contractor?	

07-08 decrease in assets 07-08 Net income higher 08-NDEHO listed as 2390 OF FEU

TO 1 1 3 T	\cdot
Bidder Name:	

7A.5 Budget Worksheet and Narrative - 10% This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 3 pages. Does it exceed? $\sqrt{N^2}$

7A	.5 Budget Worksheet and Narrative	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction (Meets) Partially Meets Fails to Meet
1.	Does the bidder propose that the percentage of the Medicaid capitation payment allocated to the Medicaid Administrative Fund will be less than the RFP-specified maximum of 13.5%?	12.7590
2.	Does the bidder propose that the percentage of the IDPH payment allocated to the IDPH Administrative Fund will be less than the RFP-specified maximum of 3.5%?	3.50
3.	 Does the bidder propose using the Community Reinvestment Account fund on: services that would benefit eligible persons? services that the bidder has identified in response to 7A.2.6.b), 7A.2.13.b), or other questions within Section 7 of the RFP? (this question is to assess internal consistency within the bidder's response) 	Q, J

advocacy & peer Run (Felehealth Expansion Crisis EB Practice

> Peer Suppost & Crisis Stab listed under Medicaid Funded SA

7A.6 Required Certifications	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
Does the bidder include all the required certifications? (Y/N) RFP Certifications and Mandatory Guarantee Release of Information Mandatory Requirements and Reasons for Disqualification	